Sh. Rajinder Kumar, (M : 9646380671) S/o Sh. Meher Chand, Ward No 2, Supreme Enclave, Near VishvkarmaBhawan, Link Road, Mansa.

..Vs

.....Appellant

राम मुचल के के

Informatio

Auto Punjab

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Mansa

First Appellate Authority O/oIGP, Bathinda Range, Bathinda.

.....Respondent

AC No. 3015 of 2020 Through CISCO-WEBEX

Present: None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.01.2021.

2. Today, neither the appellant nor the Respondent is present. However, the Respondent has filed their reply vide Commission diary no.2560 dated 02.02.2021 stating that the information has been provided to the Appellant. They have also filed a copy of the receiving given by the Appellant is lieu of receiving the information. Copy of the same is taken on record.

3. Since, the information has been provided to the Appellant therefore, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated :19.04.2021

(PreetyChawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Pawan Kumar (Gujran), Cashier (M : 9463512349) ShamshanBhumi Committee (Regd) Sunam, S/o Sh. SabhaNand, R/o MohallaKailash Parbat, Sunam, DisttSangrur.

. ..VsComplainant

Public Information Officer, O/o Registrar of Firms & Societies, PB, Jeevan Deep Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh..

.....Respondent

CC No. 736 of 2020 Through CISCO-WEBEX

Present: (i) None for the Complainant

(ii) **for the Respondent**: Sh.Jaswant Rai, APIO(94648-94934) ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.01.2021

2. The complainant is not present today.

3. The Respondent states that that information, as available, in their official record has been provided to the Complainant. They have filed a copy of the same vide Commission diary no. 7600 dated 0.04.2021. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. After perusing the case file, it is ascertained that the Complainant is not present today. He was not present on the previous date of hearing also. The Respondent states that the information has been provided to the Complainant. Hence, it may be presumed that the complainant is satisfied with the information provided. Therefore, the Complaint case filed by the complainant is **disposed off and closed.** Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated :19.04.2021

DN

Sh. Jiwan Garg, S/o Sh. Om Parkash Garg, (M : 9878138340) H No B-1/473-A, Ward 23, Opp. Old Bombay Palace, Jakhal Road, Sunam, Distt Sangrur-148028. ..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o EO, Nagar Council, Sunam Udham Singh Wala.

First Appellate Authority O/o SDM, Sunam ,Udham Singh Wala.

2. Public Information Officer, O/oSDM, Sunam ,Udham Singh Wala.Respondent

.....Appellant

AC No. 3016 of 2020 Through CISCO-WEBEX

Present :

(i) ShJiwanGarg, Appellant(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Avtar Singh, Accountant (M-98150-66697)

ORDER

.

This order may be read with reference to the previous order 28.01.2021.

2. The Respondent states that the complete information, as available, in their official record, has been provided to the Appellant. The Respondent has also filed their reply along with an affidavit vide Commission diary no. 8194 dated 09.04.2021, which is as under:-

ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਬਤੌਰ ਲੋਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਉਪ ਮੰਡਲ ਮੈਜਿਸਟਰੇਟ, ਸੁਨਾਮ ਊਧਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਲਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਕੰਮ ਕਰ ਰਿਹਾ ਹਾਂ। ਿਸ ਦਿਤਾ ਹ

ਇਹ ਕਿ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਜੀਵਨ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਗਰਗ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਓਮ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ ਗਰਗ, ਵਾਸੀ ਮਕਾਨ ਨੰਬਰ ਬੀ-1/473-ਏ, ਵਾਰਡ ਨੰਬਰ 23,

ਸਾਹਮਣੇ ਪੁਰਾਣਾ ਬੰਬੇ ਪੈਲੇਸ, ਜਾਖਲ ਰੋਡ, ਸੁਨਾਮ ਊਧਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਲਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਇਸ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ 2005 ਤਹਿਤ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਮਿਤੀ 14-06-2019 ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ। ਇਹ ਕਿ ਸੀ ਹੀਵਨ ਹਾਸਤ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ

ਇਹ ਕਿ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਜੀਵਨ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਗਰਗ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿਖੇ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਦੀ ਨਿੱਜੀ ਤੌਰ ਤੇ ਇੰਸਪੈਕਸ਼ਨ ਕਰਵਾ ਕੇ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਪੜ੍ਹਤਾਲ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਲਿਖਤੀ ਤੌਰ ਤੇ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ: 138/ਐੱਲ.ਐੱਫ਼.ਏ. ਮਿਤੀ 30-03-2021 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਦਸਤੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾ ਚੁੱਕੀ ਹੈ।

ਇਹ ਕਿ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਜੀਵਨ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਗਰਗ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਓਮ ਪ੍ਰਕਾਸ਼ ਗਰਗ ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿਖੇ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਨਿੱਜੀ ਤੈਰ ਤੇ ਇਸਪੈਕਟ ਕਰਵਾ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਹੋਰ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਇਸ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿਖੇ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ।

Copy of the same is taken on record.



AC No. 3016 of 2020

3. The Appellant states that the information has been provided to him after lapse of 625 days. He demands that the PIO be penalized for the same. He has also filed his submission regarding the same via e-mail. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the case file, it is ascertained that the complete information, as available in the official record of the Respondent has been provided to the Appellant. However there are glaring systemic deficiencies in the office. Appropriate mechanism has not been provided to keep the record properly by the public authority due to which the information / request under RTI Act, 2005 are not being served properly. However, taking a lenient view, the Respondent PIO is warned to be more careful in future while dealing with the matters pertaining to the RTI Act, 2005 and act swiftly.

5. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated : 19.04.2021

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

THE PSIC State Antomation

Sh Major Singh, (M-70879-79054) S/o Sh. Karnail Singh, R/o Village Longowal, Patti Gahu, Tehsil &DisttSangrur- 148106

..Vs

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o EO, MC, Longowal, Distt. Sangrur

.....Respondent

<u>CC No.494 of 2020</u> (through CISCO WEBEX)

Present: (i) None for the Complainant.

(ii) For the Respondent: Sh. Sanjay Gulati, Inspector (M-85287-81111)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 21.01.2021 vide which a penalty of Rs 10,000/- was imposed on the Respondent-PIO.

2. The Complainant is not present today.

3. The Respondent states that the penalty amount has been deposited in the Government Treasury. He has also sent a copy of the same through e-mail. Further, he states that the available information has been provided to the Complainant. The Respondent has also filed a copy of the same.

4. After perusing the documents placed on record, it is ascertained that respondent has deposited penalty in the Treasury. Further, it is observed that the point-wise information has already been sent to the Complainant by the respondents. Moreover, the complainant is not present today. He has not even informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing. It is therefore, presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided by the respondent.

5. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. Therefore, the Complaint case filed by the Complainant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated :19.04.2021